IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

FRIDAY, THE 27TH JULY 2007 / 5TH SRAVANA 1929

RP.No. 706 of 2007(F)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.13076/2007

.....

: REVIEW PETITIONER/PETITIONER

MANOJKUMAR, MANAGER,
ARTS COLLEGE, QUILANDY.

BY ADV. SRI.M.VIJAYAKUMAR

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS

---

1. RECOVERY OFFICER,
EMPLOYEE'S PROVIDENT FUNDS, BHAVISHYANIDHI BHAVAN,
P.B.NO.1806, ERANHIPALAM P.O., KOZHIKODE.

2. ENFORCEMENT OFFICER,
EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUND ORGANIZATION,
SUB REGIONAL OFFICE, ERANHIPALAM,
P.B.NO.1806, KOZHIKODE.

BY ADV. SC FOR EPFO
SRI.THOMAS MATHEW NELLIMOOTTIL, SC

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 27/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

`````````````````````````````````````````````````

**R.P. No. 706 OF 2007**
**in**
**W.P. (C). No. 13076 OF 2007 F**

`````````````````````````````````````````````````

Dated this the 27th day of July, 2007

O R D E R

The petitioner seeks review of my judgment dated 3.4.2007 on the ground that a statement made by the respondent has been wrongly recorded by me in the judgment. I do not find any such wrong recording of the statement made by the respondent. I only recorded the statement of the respondent that the certified copy of the order based on which Ext.P2 had already been issued to the petitioner, which was the statement made by the 2nd respondent. In the above circumstances, I do not find any merit in this review petition and accordingly the review petition is dismissed.

(S. SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE)

aks